## 49. PALEOMAGNETISM OF SEDIMENTS, SITES 438 AND 440, LEG 57, DEEP SEA DRILLING PROJECT

James M. Hall and Diane Smeltzer, Department of Geology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 3J5 Canada

#### ABSTRACT

High deposition rate sediment sequences from DSDP Sites 438 and 440 have been examined for paleomagnetic straitigraphy. At Site 440 a complete record of epochs from the Brunhes to Epoch 5 is present. In contrast, at Site 438 a hiatus has removed most of the Matuyama and Gauss epochs. At both sites specific ages for a number of depths in the sediment sequences can be suggested as a result of the paleomagnetic study.

## INTRODUCTION

We performed a paleomagnetic study of 141 vertically oriented sediment subsamples from Sites 438 and 440 (Figures 1 and 2), DSDP Leg 57. Measurements were made with a Schonstedt SSM-1A spinner magnetometer. Systematic alternating-field demagnetization of all samples was carried out. Demagnetization steps were 0, 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, and 400 oersteds peak field. Occasionally high fields were used. Demagnetization was continued until a stable remanence inclination, interpreted as indicating the inclination of the geomagnetic field during or shortly after deposition, was obtained. Stable remanence inclinations were identified by visual search of the sequence of remanence inclinations resulting from partial demagnetization of a sample. A sequence of from two to six similar inclination-declination pairs was selected as representative of the stable direction of magnetization. The average of this sequence of inclinations is defined as the stable inclination,  $I_s$ , for a sample. It proved possible to obtain stable inclinations for 124 of the 141 samples (88 per cent). These inclinations are listed in Table 1. Of the remaining samples 5 yielded clear original polarities, 1 was too weak to measure, 7 did not give an indication even of original polarity, 3 were received in a broken state, and 2 were measured incorrectly. Most (72 per cent) natural remanence intensities were in the 0.3 to 3.0  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> emu/cm<sup>-3</sup> range and as such were readily measurable with the equipment used.

# **RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION**

The summarized paleomagnetic data are listed in Table 1 and are illustated in Figures 1 and 2. Two features of the data are immediately obvious. First, considerable depth intervals are frequently characterized by one polarity (at the latitudes of the sites, positive inclination can safely be assumed to represent normal polarity and negative inclination, reverse polarity). Second, the distribution of data is such that a number of long intervals at each site are well represented, whereas other intervals contain none at all. The former are indicated by lines parallel to the axes in Figures 1 and 2. The gaps in the



Figure 1. Site 438, Holes 438 and 438A. Stable paleomagnetic inclinations and depth.

data distributions make interpretations more difficult but not impossible.

#### **Site 440**

We chose to interpret the Site 440 record first because it appears to be straightforward. Figure 3 shoes the polarity sequence for the site in block diagram form, to-



Figure 2. Site 440, Holes 440, 440A, and 440B. Stable paleomagnetic inclinations and depth.

gether with the standard sequence of McDougall (1977) plotted for a uniform sedimentation rate of 130 m/m.y. There is a good match of observed and ideal profiles, with all epochs to Epoch 5 recognizable in the Site 440 profile.

Some at least of the Jarmillo, Olduvai, mid-Gauss, and mid-Gilbert events are also present in the Site 440 profile. Small but significant mismatches between observed and ideal profiles can readily be accounted for by a combination of gaps in the data and relatively small variations in sedimentation rate. For example, according to biostratigraphic information, the upper 200 meters of sediment were deposited at rates approaching 230 m/m.y. Thus it is difficult to resolve events in this approximately 1-m.y. interval because of the data gaps and possible mismatch with the modelled results.

The value of the Site 440 profile is that it permits us to assign ages to specific depths and to ascertain the stratigraphic continuity of the sequence in conjunction with paleontological data. In particular, at the 305-meter depth the sediment age is 2.47 m.y. (Matuyama/ Gauss boundary); at 495 meters it is 3.41 m.y. (Gauss/ Gilbert boundary), and at 679 meters it is 5.44 m.y. (Gilbert/Epoch 5 boundary). These assignments agree fairly well with previously calibrated age assignments based on diatoms and other fossil groups (see Figure 4). The samples from Hole 440B, Core 43, are of special interest. They were taken from above and within a possible synsedimentary fold, two of them on the overturned limb. The sequence shows both positive and negative inclinations. The two samples with negative inclinations were taken from the overturned limb. It is probable that the magnetization predates the folding. This suggests that sediment slumped en masse from upslope after having already acquired a magnetic remanence. It is also possible that folding occurred in situ after deposition because of tectonic stresses which also caused the faulting and fracturing. However, the style of the folding suggests a synsedimentary slumping origin. Most samples below Core 440B-27 are tectonically affected by having been more highly compacted or highly fractured. There is no obvious bias in paleomagnetic parameters.

### Site 438

The situation for Site 438 is much less clear than it is for Site 440. The polarity block diagram shows dominantly reverse polarity (including the shallowest sample, Figure 5). A hiatus representing the interval from about 1.2 to 2.2 m.y.B.P. occurs in Hole 438A, based on various fossil groups. Such a hiatus certainly presents complications in interpreting the polarity sequence. The estimate of the time duration of the hiatus, with part of the lower Pleistocene and upper Pliocene missing, still allows no obvious match with the ideal scale. The best model that can be suggested is that most of the lower part of the Matuyama reversed, and most of the upper Gauss normal polarity epochs may be missing. This implies that the dominantly reverse polarity sequence between 125 meters and 400 + meters represents the Gilbert Epoch. The identification may be satisfactory, since although a high Gilbert age sedimentation rate of at least 135 m/m.y. is required, this is not much higher than the rate of about 110 m/m.y. obtained from paleontologic dating (see Site 438 chapter, this volume, Pt. 1). This model implies an age of 3.41 m.y. at 125-meter depth, of 3.82 m.y. at 212 meters, and possibly of 4.57 m.y. at 340 meters.

### CONCLUSIONS

The paleomagnetic stratigraphy established for Sites 438 and 440 agrees reasonably well with the age assignments made on the basis of daitoms, radiolarians, and calcareous nannofossils. Barron (this volume) has combined various age-calibrated (paleomagnetically) fossil

TABLE 1						
Paleomagnetism of Sediment Samples, Sites 438 and 440:	Summary of Data					

Sample (Interval in cm)	Sub-bottom Depth (m)	J <sub>o</sub>	Io	Is	Demagnetization Steps Used to Obtain $I_S$	Comments
			-		Site 438	
Hole 438						
1-2, 40-42	1.91	26.0	+70	R	2 <u>11</u>	Poorly defined
1-3, 91-93	3.92	12.0	+47	+48±9	3(300-400)	Data last through arrow in the manufament
2-4, 55-55	9.04		-	-	-	procedure
2-4, 58-60	9.09	3.4	+59	+61±2	5(125-400)	
3-4, 81-83	19.82	0.98	+51	$+45\pm7$	4(100-250) 3(75-150)	
10-5, 97-99	87.98	0.28	-15	R	5(75-150)	Definite R
11-5, 25-27	96.76	0.01	1	+40±6	3(50-100)	
12-4, 71-73	104.22	0.22	+36	N?	-	
12-3, 61-62	100.03	0.69	+40	+3210	3(30+100)	
Hole 438A	25.57	0.12				Manager
2-2, 116-8	25.67	0.13	-55	+49+2	5(200-400)	No stable value
2-4, 119-20	28.69	0.21	+32	-59±4	2(100-150)	Returns to N after 150 but with irregular J
2.2.00.02	26.21			20.11	1/100 250	variation
3-3, 80-82	30.31	4.41	+02	-39±11	4(100-250)	No stable value
4-6, 118-120	50.69	0.48	-53	-46±7	5(75-250)	to sucre fune
6-1, 78-80	107.29	0.32	-52	$-60\pm8$	4(50-150)	
6-2, 60-62	108.61	0.53	+36	+46±7	5(75-250)	Good R but no clear L value
7-4, 46-48	120.97	0.13	+27	I		Probably near horizontal
7-5, 53-55	122.54	0.23	-15	+35±11	5(75-250)	? Inverted
8-5, 86-88	132.37	0.58	- 30	-33±5	4(150-300)	
8-6, 85-87	133.86	0.55	+22	$-01\pm 4$ -58+3	6(150-400) 3(75-150)	
10-4, 67-69	148.68	2.17	-36	$-43\pm1$	4(50-150)	
11-2, 94-96	156.45	0.28	-06	-42±13	5(75-250)	
11-4, 42-44	158.93	0.33	-11	$-27\pm10$	5(100-300)	
13-2, 30-38	176.71	0.38	+34	-3420		May be trying to reverse
14-2, 40-42	184.41	0.51	-30	-49±1	3(50-100)	
14-4, 90-92	187.91	1.30	-44	$-42\pm7$	6(50-250)	
16-5, 139-141	205.90	0.39	-09	$-30\pm9$ -57+9	4(150-300) 4(75-200)	
17-1, 81-83	211.82	0.39	-39	-09±3	3(150-250)	
17-3, 56-58	214.57	0.64	+37	$+39\pm1$	4(100-250)	
18-3, 106-108	224.57	0.97	+66	$+43\pm6$ $\pm48\pm8$	4(150-300) 5(100-300)	
19-5, 80-82	236.81	0.89	-09	$-41\pm4$	2(75, 100)	
21-1, 12-14	249.13	1.99	-52			Negative to positive I, no stable value
24-4, 84-86	282.85	0.48	-12	$-32\pm0$	2(50, 75)	
29-3, 46-48	328.47	0.12	+09	$-44\pm1.3$ +29±1	2(75, 100)	
29-5, 46-48	331.47	0.76	-04	8 <b>4</b>	_	Probably shallow
30-1, 100-102	335.51	0.31	+27	+21±3	3(150-250)	
31-1, 50-52	344.51	0.17	-06	$-3/\pm 11$ -64+8	3(75-150) 3(75-150)	
32-2, 84-86	355.85	0.34	+23	$-12\pm6$	2(150, 200)	
33-5, 59-60	369.59	1.27	+16			No stable value
34-1, 30-32	372.81	0.61	-14	$-47\pm 2$ -06+3	3(150-250) 2(75, 100)	
35-3, 36-38	385.37	0.32	-	-58±7	3(100-200)	
35-6, 112-114	390.63	0.28	-09	$-22\pm8$	2(50, 75)	
36-2, 102-104	394.03	0.14	-	$-24\pm 4$	3(75-150)	
46-3, 30-31	491.80	0.25	+38	$+37\pm3$	3(75-150)	
		ುವನೆಕಾರಗಳು		10741177776	Site 440	
Hole 440					Site inc	
1_2 72_74	2.22	1.15	[+241	[+30+01	3(75-150)	Sample loose in holder
1-3, 98-100	3.99	1.71	+63	+66±7	4(200-350)	contraction of the second second

Sample (Interval in cm)	Sub-bottom Depth (m)	Jo	Io	Is	Demagnetization Steps Used to Obtain I <sub>S</sub>	Comments
					Site 440 (Cont.)	
Hole 440 (Cont.)						
1-3, 120-122	4.21	4.62	+07	+07±3	5(150-350)	Too work to macaura
3-3, 20-22	9.76	1.11	[+51]	1+35+61	5(75-250)	Sample loose in holder
3-3, 101-102	20.01	1.73	+25	+33±7	5(75-250)	Sample receiption
4-3, 95-97	29.46	2.97	+20	+27±8	5(100-300)	
4-6, 126-128 5-6, 10-12	34.27 42.61	4.17 29.54	+32 +33	+41±5 +30±2	6(75-300) 4(200-350)	
Hole 440A						
2-1,90-92	83.43	1.10	+31	+66±3	3(100-200)	
2-6, 28-30	90.29	2.06	+62	+72±2	4(100-250)	
3-1, 72-74	92.73	2.09	+17	+18±2	3(75-150)	
4-1, 67–69 7-4, 13–15	102.18 134.64	3.95	+58 +50	$+63\pm3$ +41\pm6	4(100-250) 5(75-250)	
Hole 440B						
8-3, 75-77	209.76	14.15	-44	-55±1	4(75-200)	
8-5, 86-88	212.87	4.81	- 38	-42±2	4(75-200)	
9-1, 35-37	215.86	0.92	+17	+15±6	3(100-200)	
9-3, 70-72	219.21	2.65	+43	+57±5	3(150-250) 2(50-100)	
10-5, 70-72	231 71	1.59	-19	$-53\pm 2$ -52+1	2(75, 100)	
11-1, 44-46	234.95	3.77	-14	$-25\pm2$	4(100-250)	
11-5, 100-102	241.51	3.89	-	_	_	Orientation error during measurement
12-5, 31-32	250.31	0.98	-65	-29±17	4(100-250)	a 15 '''''
13-1, 27-29	253.78	28.73	-81	-81±1	4(500-1000)	? Drilling remanence present
14-2 36-38	258.48	0.86	-25	$-13\pm0$ $-59\pm15$	2(150, 200) 3(150-250)	
14-4, 91-93	268.42	1.02	+41	$+14\pm9$	4(150-300)	
15-3, 70-72	276.21	1.11	-61	-52±11	4(150-300)	
16-7, 31-33	291.32	0.67	-13	$-31\pm3$	4(100-250)	
17-2, 30-32	293.31	8.15	+07	-42±2	3(100-200)	
19-4, 83-83	315.83	1.45	+34	$+38\pm 3$ +48+3	4(150-300) 6(150-400)	
21-3, 60-62	333.11	0.68	+38	$+40\pm7$	3(150-250)	
23-2, 119-121	352.20	0.67	+51	+77±9	4(140-300)	
23-5, 13-15	354.64	0.28	00	+29±6	4(75-200)	
24-3, 46-48	361.47	1.24	+36	$+40\pm7$	4(150-300)	
24-4, 147-149	363.98	1.84	+07		2(150, 200)	Changes sense of inclination twice
27-1, 82-84	387.33	1.18	-11	$-17 \pm 7$	2(75, 100)	
28-1, 80-82	396.81	2.47	+65	+62±1	3(100-200)	
29-1, 116-118	406.67	0.98	+70	$+64 \pm 1$	4(75-200)	Contract Contract all balls stated hadding
29-2, 98-100	407.99	1.17	+48	+52±1	3(150-250) 4(100-250)	inclined 25°-30° intensely burrow-mottled
30-2, 94-96	417.45	0.74	+27	+26±3	5(100-300)	menned 25 '50 ; mensely barrow morned
32-1, 24-27	434.26	0.34	+28	+53±1	3(150-250)	
32-4, 45-47	438.96	-				
33-3, 105-107	447.56	1.98	+45	$+50\pm4$ +28+2	5(200-400) 4(75-200)	Sample received in broken state
36-4, 66-68	477.17	0.62	+18	$+20\pm 3$ +40±2	3(50-100)	
38-2,95-97	493.96	-	-	144	-	Sample received in broken state
38-2,99-101	493.50	0.36	-70	$-81\pm2$	4(75-200)	
39-3, 58-60	504.09	0.19	-	-34±4	3(200-300)	
39-4, 48-50	510.62	0.55	-02	$-20\pm 5$ -46+2	3(150-250) 3(200-300)	
40-1, 67-69	510.68	0.34	+12	$-35\pm10$	5(150-350)	
41-2, 93-95	512.44	0.42	-44	-52±1	5(100-300)	
43-1, 28-30	538.79	0.71	+30	+30±3	3(200-300)	
43-1, 146-148	539.47	0.52	+56	+53±4	4(75-200)	
43-2, 29-31	540.30	0.45	+22	+10±0 +53+2	2(100, 150) 4(75-200)	Samples from synsedimentary fold; beds both
43-3, 62-65	542.13	0.38	-04	-28±0	2(150, 200)	overturned and right side up
43-3, 80-82	542.31	0.44	-20	$-45 \pm 2$	4(100-250)	

TABLE 1 – Continued

Sample (Interval in cm)	Sub-bottom Depth (m)	$J_O$	Io	$I_S$	Demagnetization Steps Used to Obtain $I_S$	Comments
					Site 440 (Cont.)	
Hole 440B (Cont.)						
45-2.83-85	559.84	0.81	+67	+57+4	3(200-300)	
46-2, 46-49	568.97	1.09	+52	+56±1	4(150-300)	
48-1, 21-23	586.22	0.43	+45	$+51\pm4$	3(100-200)	111 110 Augusta - C. H. Han
48-4, 66-68	591.17		_	_	-	Highly bioturbated
49-1, 49-52	596.01	0.55	+42	$+52\pm4$	3(200-300	Received broken; not measured
49-4, 93-96	600.94	0.16	-10	-29±0	2(50, 75)	A steeper group is an alternative
52-1, 31-33	624.32	1.16	+52	+63±4	3(150-250)	
52-2, 146-148	626.47	1.04	+62	+67±1	3(250-350)	Some intervals fractured and brecclated
53-1, 102-104	634.53	0.64	+75	+77±5	4(100-250)	
53-4, 136-138	639.37	0.54	+46	+41±1	3(150-250)	
53-5, 31-33	639.82	0.75	+45	+46±1	4(100-250)	
54-2, 68-70	645.19	1.24	-35	-36±1	3(100-200)	
54-5, 36-38	646.87	0.86	+40	+35±7	4(150-300)	
55-3, 96-98	656.47	0.79	+41	+44±9	4(100-250)	Zone of folding and brecciation; 10°-15° bed-
55-4, 52-54	657.53	0.70	+79	+80±1	4(200-350)	ding dip
56-1, 50-52	662.51	1.32	+07	-28±4	2(250, 300)	
56-3, 16-18	665.17	1.55	+21	+24±3	4(100-250)	
57-1, 81-83	672.32	0.85	+34	-32±2	2(200-250)	
57-3, 94-96	675.45	0.44	-04	-24±1	2(250, 300)	
58-2, 125-128	683.76	1.04	+23	+09±4	5(100-300)	
58-3, 128-130	685.29	2.92	+25	+22±2	4(200-350)	
59-1, 123-125	691.74	0.67	+29	+22±3	3(250-350)	
59-5, 11-13	696.62	1.03	+22	+23±6	4(200-350)	
60-2, 33-36	701.84	0.37	+31	+08±2	3(150-250)	
61-2, 47-50	711.48	0.59	+35	+19±2	4(200-350)	

TABLE 1 – Continued

Note:  $J_o$  is natural remanence intensity in emu/cm<sup>3</sup> × 106;  $I_o$  is inclination of undemagnetized remanence (°); and  $I_s$  is stable inclination (defined in text) ±1 standard deviation.

datums, especially those of diatoms (Burkle and Opdyke, 1977; Koizumi, 1977), in order to add precision to age assignments in a subzonal scheme for high latitudes (Figure 2). The boundaries of various magnetic polarity epochs and events determined in this study are shown plotted on sedimentation rate curves with paleontologic datums for both sites in Figures 4 and 6. The scheme for Site 440 shows good agreement except for the position of the Matuyama/Gauss boundary, which would appear to be placed about 50 meters too shallow in the hole relative to biostratigraphic markers of roughly equivalent age. However, on the basis of the samples it seems to be a good boundary, which suggests that the paleontologic datum may have been incorrectly selected or calibrated.

The boundaries of magnetic polarity zones outlined for Site 438 are somewhat less certain because of gaps in sample coverage and because of a hiatus which represents upper Pliocene-lower Pleistocene time. Two alternatives are provided in Figure 6. The second alternative allows a good fit between biostratigraphic and paleomagnetic zonal schemes (see Figure 7).

Paleomagnetic studies are very useful in high sedimentation rate active margin settings, even where tectonic deformation has affected the sediment. Measurable intensities and stable magnetic inclinations may be obtained from hemipelagic sediment that has been deposited at rates in excess of 120 m/m.y. or been severely fractured, veined, and overconsolidated. However, sampling is limited by how well the fractures hold together during drilling and subsequent handling, and paleomagnetic stratigraphy is limited by the recovery and sampling interval. Therefore it was not possible to study the sequence at Site 441, for example. Even so, the technique is useful in tectonically disturbed sections as an independent control on biostratigraphic zonations, for possible calibration of paleontologic datums and ash layer chronologies, for detecting possible slump zones and folds, and for the continuity of stratigraphic sequences.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge Dr. Michael Arthur for suggesting the study of paleomagnetic zonation in an oceanic area of high sedimentation rate and for review of and additions to this report. We wish to thank the Deep Sea Drilling Project for the supply of samples and for financial support for the measurement program.

#### REFERENCES

- Burkle, L.H., and Opdyke, N. 1977. Late Neogene diatom correlations in the circum-Pacific. Proceedings of the First International Congress on Pacific Neogene Stratigraphy: Tokyo (Kaiyo Shuppan), pp. 225–284.
- Gartner, S., 1977. Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy and revised zonation of the Pleistocene. Mar. Micropaleont., 2, 1–25.

- Koizumi, I., 1977. Diatom biostratigraphy in North Pacific region. Proceedings of the First International Congress on Pacific Neogene Stratigraphy: Tokyo (Kaiyo Shuppan), pp. 235-253.
- McDougall, 1., 1977. The present status of the geomagnetic polarity time scale. In McElhinny, M. W. (Ed.), The Earth; Its Origin, Structure and Evolution: London (Academic Press).



Figure 3. Site 440, Holes 440, 440A, and 440B. Suggested correlation of polarity sequence with standard sequences (McDougall, 1977).



Figure 4. An age versus depth curve for Site 440 showing the correlation between specific paleomagnetic events and the biostratigraphy.



Figure 5. Site 438, Holes 438, 438A. Suggested correlation of polarity sequence with standard sequences (McDougall, 1977).



Figure 6. An age versus depth curve for Site 438 showing the correlation between specific paleomagnetic events and the biostratigraphy.



Figure 7. Summary of the paleomagnetic stratigraphy and biostratigraphy at Site 438.